On moisture induced stresses in timber structural elements



Picture: Courtesy of Johan Jönsson, Lund University, 2005

Martin HÄGLUND COST E55 Meeting in Helsinki 13-14 March 2007



Outline

- Varying Climate
- Properties of wood
- Moisture in wood
- Moisture induced stress (MiS)
- Consideration of moisture as an action
 - an external "load" to be combined with other loads
- Discussion



Varying Climate





Martin Häglund, Div. of Structural Engineering, Lund University

Varying Climate

- Climate variation
 - "Noisy" behavior
 - "Regular" oscillations are on a daily and annual basis





Martin Häglund, Div. of Structural Engineering, Lund University

Varying Climate

- Moisture penetration in timber
 - Wood is basically a low-pass filter
 - Swift variations are damped
 - Seasonal variations penetrate, although somewhat phase-shifted (cf. surface and middle)





(note: not from calculation, solely a visual interpretation)



- Principal properties
 - Hygroscopic
 - Anisotropic
 - Material parameters functions of direction, moisture content, temperature...
 - Natural
 - High Variability
 - Environment (growth conditions)
 - Heredity (species)
 - Adaptable complex detailing on factory floor or on site
 - High strength to weight ratio (not perpendicular however)



- 3 orthotropic directions
 - Radial
 - Tangential

Transversal directions / Perpendicular to grain

- Longitudinal
- Typical strength \perp to grain $f_k = 0.5 \text{ MPa}$ (5%-fractile)
- However highly size dependant (e.g. weakest link, varying stiffness)

Specimen: geom., mat., dens.	Specimen: b*h*l, mm ³	Volume, dm ³	Strength, MPa Mean (5%-fract.)	Reference
Prismatic, solid, 467	15*16*20	0.005	4.0 (2.7)	G-88
Prismatic, glulam, –	· ·	0.027	2.4	LR-83
Prismatic, glulam, –	<u> </u>	0.28	1.8	LR-83
Prismatic, glulam, –	_	2.5	1.0	LR-83
Prismatic, glulam, –	_	26	0.63	LR-83
Prismatic, glulam, 530	90*275*400	10	$0.89(0.74)^{2}$	ADR-98
Prismatic, glulam, 493	140*405*528	30	0.67 (0.55)	ADR-98
Curv. beam, glul., 470	90*400*1000	36	1.21 (0.95)	ADR-98
Curv. beam, glul., 496	90*600*2000	108	0.85 (0.72)	ADR-98
Curv. beam, glul., 503	90*600*4000	216	0.71 (0.59)	ADR-98
Curv. beam, glul., 493	140*600*4000	336	0.61 (0.46)	ADR-98

 Table 7.3
 Perpendicular to grain tensile strength of spruce for specimens of various volume

(from PJ Gustafsson, Timber Engineering, Wiley, 2003)



• "Weakest link"





- Combination of moisture induced stress and mechanical loading
 - Detailing (fasteners), curved beams, tapered beams, notched beams ...



- Transient transport of moisture
 - Drying / wetting until equilibrium is reached
- Resistance to transport
 - Surface (boundary) / Body (the domain)
- Continuity equation
 - Fickian law

$$\mathbf{q} = -\mathbf{D}_{\theta} \operatorname{grad} \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left(\mathbf{D}_{\theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)$$



- Outdoor
 - Sheltered / unsheltered
 - Temperature, relative humidity, sun exposure
- Indoor
 - Relative humidity (mainly)
 - Location "normal" or humid/dry conditions (e.g. public baths)
- Moisture gradients and changes important
 - Restraint of hygroexpansion
 - Absolute values not as important (beside the risk for rot and decay)



- Hygroexpansion
 - Swelling/shrinking wood (cell wall expansion due to hydrogen bonding)





Martin Häglund, Div. of Structural Engineering, Lund University

• Uneven moisture distribution



(rough schematic distribution of stress)





- Stress models
 - Assumption that different types of stress are additive



Viscoelastic creep known to be negligible to MS creep:

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{E} + \sigma(m|\dot{u}| + \beta \dot{u}) + \alpha \dot{u}$$



- Mechano-sorption
 - 1960s new phenomenon
 - Effects due to interaction of mechanical loading and sorption
 - Some example of observed effects:
 - (i) moisture variation accelerates creep, pure DOL creep contribution to the total deformation is generally much less,
 - (ii) mechano-sorption exists in all three dimensions,
 - (iii) creep increases during drying and decreases during wetting,
 - (iv) deformation is to a large degree reversible under moisture change,
 - (v) the size (range) of moisture variation is more important that the duration regarding deflection



- Mechano-sorptive modeling
 - Input/output observation
 - "curve fitting"
 - explanatory models (e.g. hydrogen bonds breaking and rebonding; slip-planes)





- Mechano-sorption modeling elements
 - Maxwell -> Series (additive strains)
 - Kelvin-Voigt –> Parallel (additive stresses)
 - Combinations of these two (e.g. Burgers model)
 - Maxwell-type / Kelvin-type
 - Dependant on moisture change



• Classic illustrative figure (note: small clear wood specimens)





Martin Häglund, Div. of Structural Engineering, Lund University

- Stress calculation in one dimension Some results
 - perpendicular to grain







 Moisture induced stress due to self balancing crosssectional forces





Martin Häglund, Div. of Structural Engineering, Lund University

• Force and moment equilibrium

$$\int_{0}^{L} \Delta \sigma(x) dx = \int_{0}^{L} \Delta \sigma_{ext}(x) dx \qquad \int_{0}^{L} \Delta \sigma(x) x dx = \int_{0}^{L} \Delta \sigma_{ext}(x) x dx \qquad \Delta \sigma_{ext}(x) = \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{ext} \frac{E(x)}{\overline{E}}$$
Stress distribution proportional to E(x)

• E-modulus and hygro-expansion





• Stress calculation for three different locations in Sweden





Martin Häglund, Div. of Structural Engineering, Lund University

• Induced stresses at the surface and in the middle during 1000 days for Sturup together with indoor variation in relative humidity (starting January 1st).



Martin Häglund, Div. of Structural Engineering, Lund University

• 23 years calculation: Stress range and CDFs (max tension)





Martin Häglund, Div. of Structural Engineering, Lund University

• Parametric study

- Influence of different parameters on moisture induced stress

Table 1 Parameter variation for the stress calculations					
Parameter	Variations				
Cross-section (CS)	42, 90, 215 mm				
Moisture capacity (MCap)	factors 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 times $C_{w \text{ calculation}}$				
Mass transfer coefficient (MTC)	factors 1.0, 1/5, 1/50 times β_v (0.156·10 ⁻³ m/s)				
Indoor moisture production (IMP)	0, 3, 6 g/m ³				
Restraint (R)	No and complete restraint				
External force (stress) (EF)	0, 0.5, 1.0 MPa (tension)				
Hygroexpansion (a)	factors 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 times values in Figure 2				
Mechano-sorption (m)	factors 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 times 0.085 MPa ⁻¹				
Mechano-sorption (B)	factors 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 times -0.045 MPa ⁻¹				
MOE	factors 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 times values in Figure 2				



• Different setups

Table 2 Calculation setups. Based on outdoor climate data in Stockholm for the full years of 1961 to 2002, i.e. 42 years. (factor 1.0 in the reference row is for relative comparison only).

Setup No.	CS	MCap	MTC	IMP	R	EF	α	β	m	MOE
1 (reference)	90	1.0	1.0	3	No	No	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
2	42	-	-	-	-	-	adjusted*	-	-	adjusted*
3	215	-	-	-	-	-	adjusted*	-	-	adjusted*
4	-	-	-	-	complete	-	-	-	-	-
5	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	-	-
6	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-
7	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	1/5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	-	-	1/50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	-
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5	-	-	-
15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-
16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5	-	-
17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-
18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5	-
19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5
20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5



Boxplot showing the 5th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 95th percentiles of annual maximum tensile stress at the surface and in the middle.



Martin Häglund, Div. of Structural Engineering, Lund University



Influence of cross-section on stress distribution





Martin Häglund, Div. of Structural Engineering, Lund University

Influence of MTC on stress distribution





Martin Häglund, Div. of Structural Engineering, Lund University

• Experiments show effect of moisture on tensile capacity (Jönsson J. 2005)









(From Jönsson)



Can clearly see an effect on the strength when moistening and drying the beam.

> In the moistening phase the tensile stresses are added in the inner part, leading to a very uniform stress distribution.

Leading to lower strength



- Superposition of stress distribution
- Wetting and drying effect differs
 - Drying leads to more uniform combination of stresses BETTER!
 - Wetting leads to the opposite





- Moisture induce stress in timber structures
 - Results in non-uniform stress field
 - Strength perpendicular to grain is "weak"
- General design criteria

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\gamma_{Gi} \sigma_{Gi}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\gamma_{Qi} \psi_{i} \sigma_{Qi}) \leq k_{mod} \frac{f}{\gamma_{M}}$$

- Two general design options
 - Should the action be considered on the resistance side, or on the action side ?
 - Today it is on the resistance side in form of strength reduction factor



Moisture effects in current codes

- Considered by service classes based on expected equilibrium moisture levels
- Time dependent **variation** of moisture exposure is not considered

A more precise characterisation is desired to improve the way moisture is considered



- How should effects of actions be combined?
 - Factors ψ_i to consider effect of concurrent actions and their combined effect
 - Summation of individual annual design values not correct
 - Variation in time different for t ex snow, wind and MiS.
 - Two (or more) loading process(es) are not prone to reach their maximum load within a given reference period (e.g. 1 year suitable in order to have ("fairly") stationary processes at the same instance of time.



"Barrier crossing problem": What is the probability (risk!) that the combined effects will cross a predetermined level during a specific time period?



$$F_{X1}(x) = \max_{T} \{X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_n\}$$

"correct"

Practical problems

Processes not known well enough?, sampling of realizations limited in time (e.g. snow load)

 "Impossible" to implement in codes in its original theoretical form

$$F_{X_2}(x) = \max_{T}(X_1) + \max_{T}(X_2) + \dots + \max_{T}(X_n)$$

"to conservative"





- Different typs of loads have their typical variation in time
- Coinciding loads or time separated
- Moisture induced stress predominant during summer season



500 600 700 800 эòо 1000



- In summary...
 - Moisture variation can induce high tensile stress
 - Different theories on modeling of stress (mechano-sorption)
 - High variability between timber elements (stress distribution)
 - How should this be accounted for?
 - Moisture as an action
 - How to describe moisture as an action (load effect)
 - Lack of data / experiments ?
 - Implementation in engineering design usability
 - Protective measures
 - Reinforcement
 - Painting / water vapour resistance
 - Durability (e.g. cracking)





Acknowledgement Johan Jönsson (div. of Structural Engineering, Lund University) for sharing of experimental data and results.

